

St Lukes - Area U Review April-June 2010

Background

The St Luke's Area light touch scheme (Area U) was programmed for review for April 2010, running alongside a review of the existing Queens Park (Area C) scheme and a consultation for a proposed Hanover and Elm Grove residents' parking scheme.

Methodology

471 addresses in the St Luke's area were sent a letter plus a short questionnaire and a prepaid return envelope, asking whether they wanted to change to a full scheme or keep it as a light touch scheme. Reasons for changing to a full scheme were given in the accompanying letter. These were that:

- Residents in neighbouring Area C, which is also being reviewed, may chose to move to a 7 day operational scheme instead of a Monday to Saturday scheme because of parking pressures in their area.
- Residents in neighbouring Hanover and Elm Grove Areas may opt for a residents parking scheme to be implemented
- Visitors to the area – e.g. those using St Luke's swimming pool were finding it difficult to park in the current light touch scheme.

There are 8 streets in the scheme area.

195 valid forms were received giving a response rate of 41.5%.

Results

Respondents were asked to choose whether they wanted to keep the scheme as a light touch scheme, whether to change to a full scheme operating Monday to Saturday or to change to a full scheme operating Monday to Sunday.

Would you prefer?	Number	%
No change	184	94.5
Change to a full scheme (Monday to Saturday 9am to 8pm)	5	2.5
Change to a full scheme (Monday to Sunday 9am to 8pm)	6	3
Total	195	100

Results on a street by street basis were as follows:

Item 45 Appendix F

Street (Number properties mailed/ response rate)	No change		Mon to Sat		Mon to Sun		Total
	Number	%	Number	%	Number	%	
Cuthbert Road (44/ 57%)	23	92	0	0	2	8	25
Dawson Terrace (38/47%)	18	100	0	0	0	0	18
Freshfield Road (114/40%)	45	98	1	2	0	0	46
Queens Park Rise (27/63%)	17	100	0	0	0	0	17
Queens Park Road (72/42%)	29	97	0	0	1	3	30
St Lukes Road (54/ 33%)	17	94.5	1	6	0	0	18
St Lukes Terrace (39/61.5%)	21	87.5	0	0	3	12.5	24
Sutherland Road (57/30%)	14	82.5	3	17.5	0	0	17
Craven Road (3/0%)	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Total	184	95	5	2	6	3	195

Respondents were then asked for any additional comments they may have about the scheme. Comments were coded as follows:

Comment	Number of responses	%
The scheme is okay as it is	105	41
Don't want to pay more/ this is a money making scheme	45	18
Don't want a full parking scheme/ pay & display meters just for swimming pool	44	17
Cost and availability of visitors permits/ having to go to Hove Town Hall to collect these	11	4
I am worried about displacement from Hanover and Elm Grove scheme	10	4
Why don't we issue permits/ vouchers for swimming pool users	9	3.5
Don't want a parking scheme at all	7	3
Don't want pay & display machines at all	6	2
Want increased hours of operation but keep it as a light touch scheme	4	1.5
Want Monday to Friday scheme	3	1
If a full scheme is implemented want to be part of zone c	3	1
Add a motorcycle bay to Freshfield Road/ more motorcycle bays needed	2	1
Needs more prominent notices about parking for swimming pool	2	1
Give exemptions for city car club	1	-
Parking on pavements is a nuisance	1	-
Parking restrictions should not include bank holidays	1	-
Should allow less than one year terms at good value	1	-

Total Responses	255	100¹
------------------------	------------	------------------------

Demographic Information

Gender

43 people (22%) did not answer this question.

Gender	Number	%
Male	70	46
Female	82	54
Total	152	100

Age

42 people (22%) did not answer this question.

Age	Number	%
18-24	0	0
25-34	16	10.5
35-44	36	23.5
45-54	39	25.5
55-64	37	24
65-74	17	11
75+	8	5
Total	153	100²

Disability

82 people (42%) did not answer this question.

Disability	Number	%
Yes	15	13
No	98	87
Total	113	100

¹ Numbers do not add up to 100 due to rounding

² % do not add up to 100 due to rounding

Ethnicity

47 people (24%) did not answer this question.

Ethnicity	Number	%
White British	130	88
White Irish	2	1.5
Other White Background	11	7.5
Indian	0	0
Pakistani	0	0
Bangladeshi	0	0
Other Asian background	0	0
White & Black Caribbean	0	0
White & Black African	0	0
White & Asian	3	2
Other mixed background	0	0
Caribbean	0	0
African	1	0.5
Other black background	0	0
Chinese	1	0.5
Other ethnic background	0	0
Don't know	0	0
Total	148	100